

A characterization of Cayley Hypersurface and Eastwood and Ezhov conjecture

Yuncherl Choi,

Division of General Education, Kwangwoon University
447-1 Wolgye-dong Nowon-Gu Seoul 139-701, Republic of Korea
yuncherl@kw.ac.kr,

Hyuk Kim,

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University
San56-1 Shinrim-dong Kwanak-gu Seoul 151-747, Korea
hyukkim@math.snu.ac.kr

Eastwood and Ezhov generalized the Cayley surface to the Cayley hypersurface in each dimension, proved some characteristic properties of the Cayley hypersurface and conjectured that a homogeneous hypersurface in affine space satisfying these properties must be the Cayley hypersurface. We will prove this conjecture when the domain bounded by a graph of a function defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is also homogeneous giving a characterization of Cayley hypersurface. The idea of the proof is to look at the problem of affine homogeneous hypersurfaces as that of left symmetric algebras with a Hessian type inner product. This method gives a new insight and powerful algebraic tools for the study of homogeneous affine hypersurfaces.

Keywords : LSA, left symmetric algebra, filiform left symmetric algebra, affine structure, homogeneous hypersurface, Cayley hypersurface

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000 : 53A15, 17D25, 53B05

1 Introduction

The Cayley surface in 3-dimensional affine space is a nondegenerate homogeneous affine surface, which is given by

$$z = xy - \frac{1}{3}x^3.$$

It is affinely homogeneous, that is, there is a Lie subgroup of the group of all affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^3$ that acts transitively on the surface, moreover its automorphism group contains a 2-dimensional abelian Lie subgroup which acts on the surface simply transitively[12]. On a nondegenerate affine hypersurface,

the cubic form C is given by $C(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_X h)(Y, Z)$ where ∇ is the induced affine connection and h is the second fundamental form. If the cubic form vanishes, Pick and Berwald theorem says that the hypersurface is an open part of a nondegenerate quadric. The Cayley surface is the first example whose cubic form C is nonzero and parallel, that is, $C \neq 0$ and $\nabla C = 0$ [11]. In fact, it is the only surface satisfying this condition up to equiaffine congruence in $\mathbb{R}^3$ [12, 10]. For generalizations of the Cayley surface, some authors studied the parallel cubic form of an affine hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ [1, 4, 6, 16]. In [5], Dillen and Vrancken studied the hypersurfaces with $\nabla K = 0$, where K is the difference tensor of ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection $\hat{\nabla}$ of h , to obtain a very interesting generalization.

On the other hand, Eastwood and Ezhov generalized the Cayley surface with a somewhat different view point in [7]. Considering the properties of the automorphism group of the Cayley surface, they generalized and constructed a *Cayley hypersurface* which is homogeneous and unique up to affine congruence in each dimension. The Cayley hypersurfaces are defined as the zero set of the following polynomial function :

$$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \sum_{d=1}^{n+1} (-1)^d \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i+j+\dots+m=n+1} \overbrace{x_i x_j \dots x_m}^d. \quad (1.1)$$

Note that, in the equation (1.1), the last coordinate occurs only once and it is the unique term of degree 1, that is, $\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n) - x_{n+1}$, where

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{d=2}^{n+1} (-1)^d \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i+j+\dots+m=n+1} \overbrace{x_i x_j \dots x_m}^d. \quad (1.2)$$

So the Cayley hypersurface is a graph of a polynomial function F , which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and this polynomial will be called *Cayley polynomial* in this paper. We will simply call a Cayley hypersurface a hypersurface which is affinely congruent to the graph of the polynomial function (1.2). Eastwood and Ezhov showed that a Cayley hypersurface has the following properties :

- (E1) The affine automorphism group contains a transitive abelian subgroup.
- (E2) The isotropy subgroup of affine automorphism group is 1-dimensional.
- (E3) The affine normals are everywhere parallel.

Then they made a remarkable conjecture that the above properties actually characterize the Cayley hypersurface among nondegenerate homogeneous hypersurfaces. It can be easily shown that the subgroups which appear in (E1) and (E2) together acts transitively on the domain bounded by the Cayley hypersurface. We will prove their conjecture using this additional condition.

The following proposition is well known in affine differential geometry and in fact follows immediately from [12, p. 47].

Proposition 1.1 *Let $x_{n+1} = F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a differentiable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let Σ be the graph of F . Then $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is the affine normal field of Σ if and only if the absolute value of the determinant of the Hessian of F , $|\det DdF| = 1$.*

In the following, we will consider the nondegenerate homogeneous hypersurface Σ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, whose affine normals are given by $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ and Σ is a graph of a function which is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will then study simply transitive subgroup of the automorphism group of Σ , and the induced group action on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which may be called a shadow action. It turns out that the induced connection on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the standard affine flat connection ∇ and the induced metric from the second fundamental form h is invariant by the shadow action. Since the shadow action on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is simply transitive, the standard connection gives a left symmetric algebra (abbreviated to LSA) structure on its Lie algebra, where LSA product is simply given by $x \cdot y = \nabla_x y$ for left invariant vector fields x and y . Also the invariant metric induced from h gives a so called Hessian type inner product on the Lie algebra. In this way, a hypersurface with simply transitive action of a Lie group corresponds to a LSA with a Hessian type inner product. With this correspondence between the homogeneous hypersurfaces and Hessian LSA's, we will show that the abelian filiform LSA exactly corresponds to the Cayley hypersurface. Then the Eastwood and Ezhov's conjecture can be transformed to the problem of LSA. In this setting, the isotropy subgroup of the hypersurface appearing in the conjecture corresponds to the Lie algebra whose elements are derivations of the LSA as well as infinitesimal similarities with respect to the Hessian type inner product. Lastly, we will prove the following theorem by showing that the dimension of the Lie algebra of the similarity derivations is affected by the dimension of the ideal of annihilators of the LSA which in turn is minimal only when the LSA is filiform.

Main Theorem *Let Σ be a nondegenerate hypersurface given by the function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then Σ is a Cayley hypersurface if and only if the followings are satisfied :*

- (E1) Σ admits a transitive abelian group $\mathbb{A}$ of affine motions.
- (E2) $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ has a 1-dimensional isotropy group.
- (E3) Affine normals to Σ are everywhere parallel.
- (E4) The domain above the hypersurface is also homogeneous.

2 Homogeneous hypersurface and LSA

Let Σ be the graph of a function F defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and we will assume that Σ satisfies the assumptions (E1), (E2) and (E3) of Eastwood and Ezhov's Conjecture. By an affine coordinate change, we may assume that $F(0) = 0$, $dF_0 = 0$

and $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ is the affine normal of Σ , which says that $|\det DdF| = 1$ from Proposition 1.1.

Let $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ be the group of all affine automorphism of Σ and $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ be its identity component. Since $F(0) = 0$, i.e., Σ contains the origin, any element $g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ can be written in the matrix form :

$$g = \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ c' & t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \text{Aff}(n+1), \quad (2.1)$$

where $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $x, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and c' is the transpose of a column vector c . Note that g moves the origin to the point $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma$.

Lemma 2.1 *With the notation in the matrix form of (2.1), the affine automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ is represented as the following :*

$$g = \left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ c' & t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \right) \in \text{Aff}(n+1). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. If g is equiaffine, then $g_*\xi = \xi$ since ξ is equiaffine invariant. Let $\mathfrak{t} = tI$ be a dilation, then

$$\Sigma' = \mathfrak{t}(\Sigma) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ tF(\frac{x}{t}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma, t \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \right\}$$

is a graph of $G(x) = tF(\frac{x}{t})$. Since $|\det DdG| = \frac{1}{|t|^n} |\det DdF| = \frac{1}{|t|^n}$ and it is constant, $|t|^{-\frac{n}{n+2}} \xi = |t|^{-\frac{2n+2}{n+2}} \mathfrak{t}_* \xi$ are affine normals of Σ' from [12, p.47]. This says that $\mathfrak{t}$ preserves the direction of affine normals ξ . Put $g = \mathfrak{t} \cdot \bar{g}$ where $\mathfrak{t} = tI$ for $t^n = \det g$ and $\bar{g}$ is equiaffine. Then $\bar{g}(\Sigma)$ will be also a graph of a function $\bar{F}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $|\det Dd\bar{F}| = t^n$, the affine normals are equal to $t^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \xi$ again from [12, p.47]. So $\bar{g}_* \xi = t^{\frac{n}{n+2}} \xi$, because equiaffine map $\bar{g}$ preserve the affine normals. Therefore we conclude that g preserves the the direction of affine normals ξ and hence b in (2.1) must vanish. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Let ξ be the affine normal of Σ , then any element g of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ leaves invariant the induced connection and acts as a conformal map with respect to the second fundamental form h . Furthermore if g is equiaffine, then g acts as an isometry with respect to h .*

Proof. Let D be the standard connection on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and ∇ be the induced connection on Σ . Since $g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ is an affine map, we have $g_*(D_X Y) = D_{g_* X} g_* Y$ for vector fields X and Y on Σ . It follows, from Gauss formula $D_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)\xi$, that $g_*(\nabla_X Y) + h(X, Y)g_*\xi = \nabla_{g_* X} g_* Y + h(g_* X, g_* Y)\xi$. Then since $g_*\xi$ is parallel to the affine normal ξ , we conclude that

$$g_*(\nabla_X Y) = \nabla_{g_* X} g_* Y, \quad h(X, Y)g_*\xi = h(g_* X, g_* Y)\xi.$$

With the matrix form (2.2) in Lemma 2.1, we have $h(g_*X, g_*Y) = t h(X, Y)$, that is, g is a conformal map. Furthermore if g is equiaffine, $t = 1$ since $g_*\xi = \xi$ and hence g is an isometry. $\square$

In the following, we consider the connected component of identity $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$, so t in (2.2) is considered as positive real number. From the action of g on a point $\begin{pmatrix} y \\ F(y) \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma$, we have an equation

$$F(Ay + x) = c'y + tF(y) + F(x), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.3)$$

which is the necessary condition that the matrix in (2.2) is an automorphism of Σ . Differentiating (2.3) with respect to y gives us the following equations,

$$dF_{Ay+x}A = c' + t dF_y, \quad (2.4)$$

$$DdF_{Ay+x}(A \cdot, A \cdot) = t DdF_y(\cdot, \cdot). \quad (2.5)$$

Since $|\det DdF| = 1$, (2.5) says that

$$\det(A)^2 = t^n, \quad t = \det(A)^{\frac{2}{n}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be an unimodular solvable subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ which acts on Σ simply transitively. Let $q : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x$ be a projection and abusing the notation, also let $q : \text{Aut}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \text{Aff}(n)$ given by $q\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ c' & t \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix}\right) = (A, x)$ be a projection which is a group homomorphism. The image of the subgroup $\mathbb{A}$ by q , $\bar{\mathbb{A}} = q(\mathbb{A})$ is an n dimensional subgroup of $\text{Aff}(n)$ and acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ simply transitively. For the standard flat affine connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\bar{\nabla} = (q^{-1})^*\nabla$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ induces the flat left invariant affine connection, also denoted by ∇ , on $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$. Hence it defines a complete LSA structure on the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{a}} = \text{Lie } \bar{\mathbb{A}}$ whose product is given by $a \cdot b = \nabla_a b$ for $a, b \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. In fact, since ∇ is flat and torsion free, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a \nabla_b c - \nabla_b \nabla_a c - \nabla_{[a,b]} c &= a(bc) - b(ac) - [a, b]c = 0 \\ \nabla_a b - \nabla_b a - [a, b] &= ab - ba - [a, b] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain the left symmetry of the product, i.e., $(a, b, c) = (b, a, c)$ where the associator $(a, b, c) = (ab)c - a(bc)$ and the compatibility with respect to the Lie structure, $ab - ba = [a, b]$. We will denote the LSA as $\mathcal{A} = (\bar{\mathfrak{a}}, \cdot)$ and the left multiplication by $a \in \mathcal{A}$ as λ_a so that $\lambda_a(b) = ab$. By identifying $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathbb{R}^n$, λ induces a representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ into $\mathfrak{aff}(n) = \mathfrak{gl}(n) + \mathbb{R}^n$ which maps a to (λ_a, a) . Exponentiating this representation gives us the representation of $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ into $\text{Aff}(n) = \text{GL}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$ so that the group

$$\bar{\mathbb{A}} = \{(\exp \lambda_a, a + \frac{1}{2!}a^2 + \frac{1}{3!}a^3 + \dots) \mid a \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}\} \subset \text{Aff}(n),$$

where $a^k = (\lambda_a)^{k-1}a$, ($k = 1, 2, \dots$), acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ simply transitively (see [9] for details). If we consider the extension $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathbb{R} \cdot 1$ of $\mathcal{A}$ with the identity 1, the expression $a + \frac{1}{2!}a^2 + \frac{1}{3!}a^3 + \dots$ can be denoted simply as $e^a - 1$ in the representation of the associated Lie group. In the following, we will use the notation $e^a - 1 = a + \frac{1}{2!}a^2 + \frac{1}{3!}a^3 + \dots$ without further comment about the extension of a given LSA. Since $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ acts on the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$, the induced LSA $\mathcal{A}$ must be complete. The following proposition about the equivalent conditions of the completeness is well known [8, 13] :

Proposition 2.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an LSA. Then the following statements are equivalent :*

- (a) $\mathcal{A}$ is complete.
- (b) $\text{tr } \rho_a = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ where ρ_a is the right multiplication of $a \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (c) $\det(I + \rho_a) = 1$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

Note that $q|_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}$ is an isomorphism and hence $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ is unimodular. Then we have that $\text{tr } \lambda_a = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ because the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ is unimodular, that is, $0 = \text{tr } \text{ad}_a = \text{tr } \lambda_a - \text{tr } \rho_a$. Therefore $\det \exp \lambda_a = 1$. Furthermore we have the following :

Proposition 2.4 *Let $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ be an unimodular solvable subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ which acts on Σ simply transitively. For any element $g \in \bar{\mathbb{A}}$, there exists a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $g = g_x$ where g_x is represented by*

$$g_x = \left(\begin{pmatrix} M_x & 0 \\ dF_x M_x & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where $M_x \in \text{GL}(n)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix given by the equations : for $a \in \mathcal{A}$

$$M_x = \exp \lambda_a \quad \text{and} \quad x = e^a - 1 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover (M_x, x) acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as an isometry with respect to the Hessian metric DdF .

Proof. Since the projection q is 1-1, $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ is identified with $\bar{\mathbb{A}} = q(\bar{\mathbb{A}})$ which acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ simply transitively. Then by the evaluation map at 0, $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ hence $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, for any $g \in \bar{\mathbb{A}}$, there exists a unique element $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $g = g_x$ and from (2.2), g_x can be written as

$$g_x = \left(\begin{pmatrix} M_x & 0 \\ c'_x & t_x \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where $M_x \in \text{GL}(n)$, $c_x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t_x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Note that M_x, c_x and t_x depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ smoothly. From the canonical representation of $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n) + \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $a \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $x = e^a - 1$ and $M_x = \exp \lambda_a$, where λ_a is a left

multiplication by a in the LSA $\mathcal{A}$. From the equation (2.4) and using also that $dF_0 = 0$, we find that $c'_x = dF_x M_x$. Since $\det M_x = \det \exp \lambda_a = 1$, we have $t_x = 1$ by (2.6). Therefore, we see from (2.5) that (M_x, x) acts on $\mathbb{R}^n$ as an isometry with respect to the Hessian metric DdF . $\square$

The induced affine metric $(q^{-1})^*h$ is equal to the Hessian of the function F (see [12]). So $DdF = (q^{-1})^*h$ defines a left invariant metric on $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ and induces an inner product $H = DdF_0$ on the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. We will frequently identify $\bar{\mathbb{A}}(\mathcal{A}$, resp.) and $\mathbb{R}^n(T_0\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^n$, resp.) via the evaluation map at 0 (its differential, resp.) in the following so that the left invariant vector fields on $\bar{\mathbb{A}}$ becomes a vector field on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 2.5 *The induced inner product H on $\mathcal{A}$ is of Hessian type, that is, H satisfies*

$$H(a, bc) - H(ab, c) = H(b, ac) - H(ba, c), \quad (2.7)$$

for all $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. From the Codazzi equation, we have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_a DdF_x)(b, c) = (\bar{\nabla}_b DdF_x)(a, c) \quad (2.8)$$

for all left invariant vector fields $a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $\bar{\nabla}$ is the standard flat affine connection. The calculation at $x = 0$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{\nabla}_a DdF)|_{x=0}(b, c) \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_a(DdF_x(b, c))|_{x=0} - DdF_0(\bar{\nabla}_a b|_{x=0}, c) - DdF_0(b, \bar{\nabla}_a c|_{x=0}) \\ &= -DdF_0(\bar{\nabla}_a b, c) - DdF_0(b, \bar{\nabla}_a c) \end{aligned}$$

since b, c are left invariant and $DdF_x(b_x, c_x) = DdF_x(M_x b_0, M_x c_0) = DdF_0(b_0, c_0)$ holds from (2.5) and hence constant. Now from (2.8), we obtain $H(ab, c) + H(b, ac) = H(ba, c) + H(a, bc)$. $\square$

Remark 2.6 The Hessian type inner product has been used by Vinberg in [17]. He introduced the inner product on an LSA from the Lie algebra homomorphism given by the trace form of left multiplication. Then he showed that the set of clans, which are LSA's with the positive definite Hessian type inner product such that the left multiplication of any element has only real eigenvalues, is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of the homogeneous convex domains. The terminology of Hessian type was introduced by Hirohiko Shima. He introduced the Hessian type inner product for the study of homogeneous Hessian manifolds[15]. Using this inner product, he developed the Hessian algebra which is the LSA with the Hessian type inner product.

We have just shown that the homogeneous affine hypersurface which is a graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$ and the automorphism group contains a solvable unimodular simply transitive subgroup gives a complete LSA with the Hessian type inner product. We will consider the converse of this in the following. We start from a complete LSA $\mathcal{A}$ with a Hessian type inner product H with $|\det H| = 1$. (Abusing the notation, we denote by H in this paper both the inner product and its associated symmetric matrix with respect to the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^n$.) Let $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}$ be the associated Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Define a map $\phi : \bar{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{aff}(n+1)$ by $\phi(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_a & 0 \\ a'H & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where a' is the transpose of $a \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. Then ϕ is the Lie algebra homomorphism since, for any $a, b \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\phi(a), \phi(b)] &= \phi(a)\phi(b) - \phi(b)\phi(a) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_a \lambda_b - \lambda_b \lambda_a & 0 \\ a'H \lambda_b - b'H \lambda_a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_a b - \lambda_b a \\ a'H b - b'H a \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} [\lambda_a, \lambda_b] & 0 \\ (ab)'H - (ba)'H & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} ab - ba \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{[a,b]} & 0 \\ [a,b]'H & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [a,b] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \phi([a,b]), \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from (2.7) and symmetry of the Hessian inner product H , the forth equality is the LSA condition and the compatibility of LSA product and Lie product. Since $\mathfrak{a} = \phi(\bar{\mathfrak{a}})$ is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{aff}(n+1)$, we have a corresponding Lie subgroup $\mathbb{A} = \exp \mathfrak{a} \subset \text{Aff}(n+1)$ whose elements are given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{\lambda_a} & 0 \\ a'H(I + \frac{\lambda_a}{2!} + \frac{(\lambda_a)^2}{3!} + \dots) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^a - 1 \\ a'H(\frac{a}{2!} + \frac{a^2}{3!} + \dots) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

for $a \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}$. Note that the orbit space of the Lie group $\bar{\mathbb{A}} = \{(e^{\lambda_a}, e^a - 1) \in \mathfrak{aff}(n) \mid a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ at the origin is the whole space $\mathbb{R}^n$ because $\mathcal{A}$ is complete.

Put $x = e^a - 1 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $F(x) = F(e^a - 1) = a'H(\frac{a}{2!} + \frac{a^2}{3!} + \dots)$ be the function from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$. Then the function F defines a homogeneous hypersurface $\Sigma = \{(x, F(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ containing the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ since $F(0) = F(e^0 - 1) = 0$ and $\mathbb{A}$ acts simply transitively on Σ since Σ is the $\mathbb{A}$ -orbit of the origin. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, the group multiplication in $\mathbb{A}$ shows that

$$\begin{aligned} F((e^a - 1) + e^{\lambda_a}(e^{ub} - 1)) &= a'H(\frac{a}{2!} + \frac{a^2}{3!} + \dots) \\ &\quad + a'H(I + \frac{\lambda_a}{2!} + \frac{(\lambda_a)^2}{3!} + \dots)(e^{ub} - 1) \\ &\quad + (ub)'H(\frac{ub}{2!} + \frac{(ub)^2}{3!} + \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

By differentiating (2.10) with respect to u at $u = 0$, we obtain

$$dF(e^a - 1)e^{\lambda_a} = a'H(I + \frac{\lambda_a}{2!} + \frac{(\lambda_a)^2}{3!} + \dots). \quad (2.11)$$

Therefore, if we put $M_x = e^{\lambda_a}$ where $x = e^a - 1$, then the elements of $\mathbb{A}$ in (2.9) is equal to the matrix in Proposition 2.4. Differentiating (2.11) at the origin, we have

$$DdF_0 = H.$$

Now the group multiplication in $\mathbb{A}$ gives us : for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} dF((e^a - 1) + e^{\lambda_a}(e^{ub} - 1))e^{\lambda_a}e^{\lambda_{ub}} \\ = dF(e^a - 1)e^{\lambda_a}e^{\lambda_{ub}} + dF(e^{ub} - 1)e^{\lambda_{ub}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Once more, differentiating (2.12) with respect to u at $u = 0$ gives

$$DdF_x(M_x, M_x) = DdF(e^a - 1)(e^{\lambda_a}, e^{\lambda_a}) = DdF(0)(\cdot, \cdot) = DdF_0(\cdot, \cdot).$$

Since $\det M_x = 1$, we have $\det DdF_x = \det DdF_0$ and hence $|\det DdF_x| = |\det H| = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore the affine normals of the hypersurface Σ are equal to $\xi = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, so they are parallel. Now we can summarize as follows.

Theorem 2.7 *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of the graph of $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$ on which a unimodular Lie subgroup $\mathbb{A}$ of affine transformations acts simply transitively and the set of the complete LSA $\mathcal{A}$ with a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product H with $|\det H| = 1$.*

3 The transitive action of abelian subgroup

With the first assumption (E1) of Eastwood and Ezhov conjecture, we will assume that the Lie group $\mathbb{A}$ is a transitive abelian subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ in this section. Let's denote by $\mathbb{J}$ the isotropy subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ at the origin $0 \in \Sigma$.

Proposition 3.1 *Let Σ be the graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$ and let $\mathbb{A}$ be an abelian subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ which acts on Σ transitively. Then the action of $\mathbb{A}$ on Σ is simply transitive.*

Proof. Suppose that the action of $\mathbb{A}$ is not simply transitive. Then $\mathbb{A}$ contains a nonzero element j of $\mathbb{J}$ and by the commuting property, we have that $jg \cdot 0 = gj \cdot 0 = g \cdot 0$ for all $g \in \mathbb{A}$. It follows that $g \cdot 0$ is fixed under j for any $g \in \mathbb{A}$. Since $\mathbb{A}$ acts transitively on Σ , each $j \in \mathbb{A} \cap \mathbb{J}$ fixes every point of Σ . Hence we see that Σ is a hyperplane through 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ because the fixed point set of a linear map j is a subspace. But this contradicts the nondegeneracy of the hypersurface Σ . $\square$

For the abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$, the condition (2.7) of Hessian type inner product is reduced to the following

$$H(a, bc) = H(b, ac), \quad a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (3.1)$$

Lemma 3.2 *Let Σ be the graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$ and let $\mathbb{A}$ be an abelian subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ which acts on Σ simply transitively. Then any element of $\mathbb{A}$ can be written as*

$$g_x = \left(\begin{pmatrix} I + \lambda_x & 0 \\ x'H & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a left multiplication map coming from the associated abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. For the abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$, we have $(\lambda_a)^n = \lambda_{a^n}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda_a} &= I + \lambda_a + \frac{1}{2!}(\lambda_a)^2 + \frac{1}{3!}(\lambda_a)^3 + \dots \\ &= I + \lambda_a + \frac{1}{2!}\lambda_{a^2} + \frac{1}{3!}\lambda_{a^3} + \dots \\ &= I + \lambda_{a + \frac{1}{2!}a^2 + \frac{1}{3!}a^3 + \dots} \\ &= I + \lambda_x. \end{aligned}$$

Then from the equation (2.11) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} dF_x(I + \lambda_x) &= a'H(I + \frac{\lambda_a}{2!} + \frac{(\lambda_a)^2}{3!} + \dots) \\ &= (a + \frac{1}{2!}a^2 + \frac{1}{3!}a^3 + \dots)'H \\ &= (e^a - 1)'H = x'H. \end{aligned}$$

Then from Proposition 2.4, the elements of $\mathbb{A}$ can be written in the form given above. $\square$

Lemma 3.2 shows that from the simply transitive abelian Lie subgroup we can obtain the structure of the associated abelian LSA and the Hessian type inner product directly, and vice versa.

Theorem 3.3 *Let Σ be the graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$. Suppose that $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ contains an abelian subgroup which acts on Σ simply transitively. Then we have the followings :*

(a) *The function F is a polynomial which is given by*

$$F(x) = x'H\left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{3}\lambda_x + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_x)^2 - \dots\right)x, \quad (3.2)$$

where $H = DdF_0$ and λ is the left multiplication map obtained from the associated complete abelian LSA.

- (b) *An abelian subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ which acts simply transitively on Σ is unique. Therefore $\mathbb{A}$ is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$.*

Proof. (a) Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the simply transitive abelian subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the associated complete abelian LSA. Let λ be the left multiplication map obtained from the LSA $\mathcal{A}$ and let $H = DdF_0$ be the Hessian type inner product on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $H\lambda_x = (\lambda_x)'H$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from (3.1). Define the polynomial $G : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the right hand side of (3.2). If we differentiate $G(x)$ by using $d((\lambda_x)^n)(v) = n(\lambda_x)^{n-1}\lambda_v$, then

$$\begin{aligned} dG_x &= H\left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{3}\lambda_x + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_x)^2 - \cdots\right)x \\ &\quad + x'H\left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{2}{3}\lambda_x + \frac{3}{4}(\lambda_x)^2 - \cdots\right) \\ &= x'H(I - \lambda_x + (\lambda_x)^2 - (\lambda_x)^3 + \cdots) \\ &= x'H(I + \lambda_x)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the associativity of H . On the other hand, from the proof of Lemma 3.2, $dF_x = x'H(I + \lambda_x)^{-1}$. Hence we have $F(x) = G(x) + c$ for some constant c . But $G(0) = 0 = F(0)$, so we conclude that they are equal.

(b) Using the associativity of H , $dF_x = x'((I + \lambda_x)^{-1})'H$. Then, we have

$$((I + \lambda_x)^{-1}x)' = dF_x H^{-1}. \quad (3.3)$$

Because the right hand side of (3.3) depends only on the given function, we can show that the LSA structure is uniquely determined as follows : If we assume that there exists a Lie algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\lambda} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(n)$ which gives another associated abelian LSA structure, so that $(I + \tilde{\lambda}_x)^{-1}x = (I + \lambda_x)^{-1}x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ by (3.3), then we have

$$[(\lambda_x - \tilde{\lambda}_x) - ((\lambda_x)^2 - (\tilde{\lambda}_x)^2) + ((\lambda_x)^3 - (\tilde{\lambda}_x)^3) - \cdots]x = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If we differentiate (3.4), then we can easily derive that

$$2(\lambda_x - \tilde{\lambda}_x) - 3((\lambda_x)^2 - (\tilde{\lambda}_x)^2) + 4((\lambda_x)^3 - (\tilde{\lambda}_x)^3) - \cdots = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By differentiating (3.5) at $x = 0$, we obtain $2(\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}) = 0$, that is, $\lambda = \tilde{\lambda}$.

Now (a) and Lemma 3.2 shows that the abelian subgroup $\mathbb{A}$ is also uniquely determined from the LSA structure and the Hessian type inner product. $\square$

Any element $j \in \tilde{\mathbb{J}}$, the isotropy subgroup at the origin $0 \in \Sigma$, must be linear. So it is given by $j = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ c' & t \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(n+1)$. Since $dF_0 = 0$, the tangent space of Σ at 0 is $\mathbb{R}^n$ and it is invariant by the action of $j_* = j$. Hence we have the following :

Lemma 3.4 Any element $j \in \tilde{\mathbb{J}}$ is represented as the following form

$$j = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A \in \text{GL}(n)$ and $t = (\det A)^{\frac{2}{n}}$.

Proposition 3.5 Let $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}$ be the isotropy subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ at 0. Then the followings are equivalent :

- (a) $g \in \tilde{\mathbb{J}}$.
- (b) $g \in \text{GL}(n+1)$ normalizes $\mathbb{A}$.
- (c) $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(n+1)$ and $F(Ax) = tF(x)$.
- (d) $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(n+1)$ and A is an automorphism of the associated complete abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$ and $A'HA = tH$.

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) : Since $\tilde{\mathbb{J}}$ is the isotropy subgroup at 0, g is contained in $\text{GL}(n+1)$. From Theorem 3.3, $\mathbb{A}$ is the unique abelian normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$. Then $g\mathbb{A}g^{-1} \subset \text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ must be equal to $\mathbb{A}$. Hence g normalizes $\mathbb{A}$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a),(c) and (d) : Let $g \in \text{GL}(n+1)$ be a normalizer of the abelian subgroup $\mathbb{A}$, then for any $h \in \mathbb{A}$ there exists $\tilde{h} \in \mathbb{A}$ such that $g \cdot (h \cdot 0) = \tilde{h} \cdot (g \cdot 0) = \tilde{h} \cdot 0$. Since $\mathbb{A}$ acts on Σ transitively, this shows that g belongs to $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$, that is, $g \in \tilde{\mathbb{J}}$.

Therefore from Lemma 3.4, $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}$ where $A \in \text{GL}(n)$ and $t = (\det A)^{\frac{2}{n}}$.

Then for any element $g_x = \begin{pmatrix} M_x & 0 \\ x'H & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{A}$, we have

$$gg_xg^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} AM_xA^{-1} & 0 \\ tx'HA^{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Ax \\ tF(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{A}. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence we have $A\lambda_xA^{-1} = \lambda_{Ax}$, that is, $A \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$ and $F(Ax) = tF(x)$. Moreover we have $tx'HA^{-1} = (Ax)'H = x'A'H$, and hence $tH = A'HA$.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) : Since $g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ F(x) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Ax \\ tF(x) \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma$, $g \in \tilde{\mathbb{J}} \subset \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$.

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (b) and (c) : From the conditions of (d), we have

$$\begin{aligned} AM_xA^{-1} &= I + A\lambda_xA^{-1} = I + \lambda_{Ax} = M_{Ax} \\ tx'HA^{-1} &= x'A'H = (Ax)'H. \end{aligned}$$

Since A is an automorphism of the LSA $\mathcal{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} F(Ax) &= x'A'H \left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{3}A\lambda_xA^{-1} + \frac{1}{4}A(\lambda_x)^2A^{-1} - \dots \right) Ax \\ &= x'A'HA \left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{3}\lambda_x + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_x)^2 - \dots \right) x \\ &= tF(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above, (3.6) shows that g normalizes the abelian subgroup $\mathbb{A}$. $\square$

From Proposition 3.5, we have the following theorem :

Theorem 3.6 *Let Σ be a graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$. If the $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ contains a transitive abelian subgroup, then the followings hold.*

- (a) $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0 = \mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathbb{J}$, where $\mathbb{A}$ is the simply transitive abelian subgroup and $\mathbb{J}$ is the isotropy subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$.
- (b) $\mathbb{J}$ acts on the associated complete abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$ as an automorphism and similarity with respect to the Hessian type metric.

A derivation B on an LSA $\mathcal{A}$ is the linear map satisfying

$$B(ab) = (Ba)b + a(Bb),$$

for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, that is, $B\lambda_a = \lambda_{Ba} + \lambda_a B$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of all the derivation on $\mathcal{A}$, which is the Lie algebra of the automorphism group of $\mathcal{A}$, that is, $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Lie Aut}(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 3.7 For an LSA $\mathcal{A}$ with an inner product H , a map $B : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is called an *infinitesimal similarity* if it satisfies the following :

$$\begin{aligned} (Ba)'Hb + a'HBb &= s a'Hb, \\ (\text{ or } \langle Ba, b \rangle + \langle a, Bb \rangle &= s \langle a, b \rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where s must be equal to $\frac{2}{n} \text{tr } B$ for $n = \dim \mathcal{A}$.

Let's denote the subalgebra of $\text{Der}(\mathcal{A})$ whose elements are infinitesimal similarities by $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$. For an element $B \in \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$, if $\text{tr } B = 0$, we call it *infinitesimal isometry derivation*. We will denote by $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$ the subalgebra of all infinitesimal isometry derivations. It readily follows that the codimension of $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$ in $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ must be 0 or 1.

Proposition 3.8 *Let Σ be the graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$, on which an abelian subgroup acts simply transitively. Let $\mathfrak{j}$ be the Lie algebra of the isotropy subgroup $\mathbb{J}$ of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$. Then*

$$\mathfrak{j} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \mid B \in \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ and } s = \frac{2}{n} \text{tr } B \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the associated complete abelian LSA. Therefore the dimension of $\mathbb{J}$ is equal to the dimension of the Lie algebra $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$.

Proof. From the Lemma 3.4, the elements of $\mathfrak{j}$ are given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}(n+1)$ where $s = \frac{2}{n} \text{tr } B$. Then, from the Proposition 3.5 (d), B must be contained in $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$. $\square$

If $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) \not\subseteq \text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$, then the complete abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$ has a derivation B , which is an infinitesimal similarity as well with $\text{tr } B = \frac{n}{2}$, that is, $a'Hb = a'B'Hb + a'HBb$. Hence the associated polynomial F given in Theorem 3.3 can be represented as the following form,

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x) &= x'H\left(\frac{1}{2}I - \frac{1}{3}\lambda_x + \frac{1}{4}(\lambda_x)^2 - \cdots\right)x \\
&= x'H\left(\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^3 - \cdots\right) \\
&= x'B'H\left(\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{3}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}x^3 - \cdots\right) + x'H\left(\frac{1}{2}Bx - \frac{2}{3}xBx + \frac{3}{4}x^2Bx - \cdots\right) \\
&= x'H(Bx - xBx + x^2Bx - \cdots) \\
&= x'H(I - \lambda_x + (\lambda_x)^2 - \cdots)Bx \\
&= x'H(I + \lambda_x)^{-1}Bx,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

by using the symmetry of H , the associativity $(xy)'Hz = x'H(yz)$ and the derivation property, $Bx^k = kx^{k-1}Bx$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

4 Complete abelian LSA and Filiform LSA

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA and let $\mathcal{A}^i$'s be ideals given by the following :

$$\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{i+1} = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}^i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Then there exists m such that $\mathcal{A}^{m+1} = \{0\}$ since λ_x 's are nilpotent for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. In fact they make a descending central series, that is,

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^1 \supset \mathcal{A}^2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{A}^m \supset \mathcal{A}^{m+1} = \{0\}.$$

If $a \in \mathcal{A}^m$, then $b \cdot a \in \mathcal{A}^{m+1} = \{0\}$ for any $b \in \mathcal{A}$. This says that $\mathcal{A}^m$ is contained in the annihilator of $\mathcal{A}$, $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | xy = 0 = yx, \text{ for all } y \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Notice that $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$ is a nontrivial ideal of a complete abelian $\mathcal{A}$.

Definition 4.1 ([3]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA. A (vector space) basis of $\mathcal{A}$

$$\{e_{11}, \dots, e_{1k_1}, e_{21}, \dots, e_{2k_2}, \dots, e_{m1}, \dots, e_{mk_m}\}$$

is called *adequate* if for all i , e_{il} belongs to $\mathcal{A}^i$ and $k_i + k_{i+1} + \cdots + k_m = \dim \mathcal{A}^i$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_i$ be ideals defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \mathcal{C}_{i+1} = \{x \in \mathcal{A} | yx \in \mathcal{C}_i \text{ for any } y \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Then they make an ascending central series, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{C}_0 = \{0\} \subset \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \subset \cdots$$

Lemma 4.2 (a) $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_i$ is a complete abelian LSA for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

(b) $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_i) = \mathcal{C}_{i+1}/\mathcal{C}_i$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

(c) There exists an integer m' such that $\mathcal{C}_{m'} = \mathcal{A}$, so the ascending central series is terminated finitely.

(d) $\mathcal{C}_i = \{x \in \mathcal{A} \mid x \cdot \mathcal{A}^i = \{0\}\}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m'\}$.

Proof. (a) $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_i$ is also an abelian LSA since $\mathcal{C}_i$ is ideal. Since $\lambda_x = \rho_x$ are nilpotent for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lambda_{\bar{x}} = \rho_{\bar{x}}$ are also nilpotent for $\bar{x} = x + \mathcal{C}_i \in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_i$. So we have $\text{tr } \rho_{\bar{x}} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{C}_i$ is complete.

(b) is clear from the definition.

(c) From (a) and (b), $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}/\mathcal{C}_i$ are all nontrivial if $\mathcal{C}_i \neq \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is of finite dimensional, there exist m' such that $\mathcal{C}_{m'} = \mathcal{A}$.

(d) Since $x \in \mathcal{C}_i$ is equivalent to that $xy \in \mathcal{C}_{i-1}$ for all $y \in \mathcal{A}$, it follows immediately by induction. $\square$

Proposition 4.3 (a) $\mathcal{A}^{m-i} \subset \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$.

(b) $m' = m$.

Proof. (a) Since $\mathcal{A}^{m-i}\mathcal{A}^{i+1} \subset \mathcal{A}^{m+1} = \{0\}$, $\mathcal{A}^{m-i}$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$ from Lemma 4.2 (d).

(b) From (a), $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^1 \subset \mathcal{C}_m$, hence we have $m' \leq m$. If $m' < m$, then $\mathcal{A}^m = \mathcal{A}^{m-1}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{m-1}\mathcal{C}_{m'} = \{0\}$. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Definition 4.4 Let V be a vector space and let $\langle, \rangle$ be an inner product on V . For a subset W , the perpendicular subspace $W^\perp$ is defined as the following :

$$W^\perp = \{x \in V \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0, \text{ for all } y \in W\}.$$

Proposition 4.5 Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA with a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product $\langle, \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{C}_i = (\mathcal{A}^{i+1})^\perp$ and the dimension of $\mathcal{C}_i/\mathcal{C}_{i-1}$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{A}^i/\mathcal{A}^{i+1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. By the associativity (3.1) of the Hessian type inner product, $\mathcal{C}_i \subset (\mathcal{A}^{i+1})^\perp$. Let $x \in (\mathcal{A}^{i+1})^\perp$, then for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}^i$, we have $\langle a, bx \rangle = \langle ab, x \rangle = 0$. Since $\langle, \rangle$ is nondegenerate, $bx = 0$, that is, $x \in \mathcal{C}_i$ from Lemma 4.2 (d). Therefore $\mathcal{C}_i = (\mathcal{A}^{i+1})^\perp$. This says that $\dim \mathcal{C}_i = \dim \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^{i+1}$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. So we have $\dim \mathcal{C}_i/\mathcal{C}_{i-1} = \dim(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^{i+1})/(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^i) = \dim \mathcal{A}^i/\mathcal{A}^{i+1}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an n -dimensional LSA. $\mathcal{H}$ is called a *filiform LSA* if it satisfies :

$$\dim \mathcal{H}^i = \dim \mathcal{H}_i = n + 1 - i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^i = \mathcal{H}\mathcal{H}^{i-1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_i = \mathcal{H}_{i-1}\mathcal{H}$ with $\mathcal{H}^1 = \mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}$. (cf. [3]) Note that $\mathcal{H}$ is a n -dimensional filiform LSA if and only if the nilpotency of $\mathcal{H}$ is $n+1$, that is, $\mathcal{H}^{n+1} = \mathcal{H}_{n+1} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{H}^n \neq \{0\}$, $\mathcal{H}_n \neq \{0\}$. It has the following properties :

Proposition 4.6 ([3]) *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an n -dimensional filiform LSA.*

- (a) *The associated Lie algebra of $\mathcal{H}$ is nilpotent.*
- (b) *$\mathcal{H}$ is a complete LSA.*
- (c) *$\mathcal{H}^i$ is equal to $\mathcal{H}_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.*
- (d) *$\mathcal{H}$ has a one-dimensional annihilator $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{H}^n = \mathcal{H}_n$*
- (e) *For all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathcal{H}/\mathcal{H}^i$ is a filiform LSA.*
- (f) *There is an adequate basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ satisfying*

$$e_1 e_j = e_{j+1} \quad \text{for all } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

The adequate basis in the Proposition 4.6 (f) is called *strongly adequate*. Note that if $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian filiform LSA and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a strongly adequate basis of $\mathcal{A}$, then $e_i = e_1^i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and we have $e_i e_j = e_{i+j}$. (cf. [3])

Lemma 4.7 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian filiform LSA with a strongly adequate basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, then we have the following :*

- (a) *There is a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product $\langle, \rangle$ on $\mathcal{A}$ which is given by*

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i+j = n+1, \\ 0, & i+j \neq n+1, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

which is unique up to LSA automorphism, i.e., if $\langle, \rangle$ is a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product on $\mathcal{A}$, then there exists a strongly adequate basis such that (4.1) holds up to sign.

- (b) *The dimension of $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1 and $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. (a) Let $\langle, \rangle$ be the inner product given in (4.1). Then $\langle, \rangle$ is obviously nondegenerate. For any i, j and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_i \cdot e_j, e_k \rangle &= \langle e_{i+j}, e_k \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i+j+k = n+1, \\ 0, & i+j+k \neq n+1, \end{cases} \\ \langle e_i, e_j \cdot e_k \rangle &= \langle e_i, e_{j+k} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & i+j+k = n+1, \\ 0, & i+j+k \neq n+1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

hence we conclude that $\langle, \rangle$ is of Hessian type. Now, let $\langle, \rangle$ be a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product on $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ for $i+j > n+1$ since

$\mathcal{A}^{n+1} = \{0\}$. Let $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = s_k$ for $k = i + j \leq n + 1$. Since $\langle, \rangle$ is nondegenerate, s_{n+1} must not be zero. By changing a basis inductively, we can obtain the basis $\{\bar{e}_1, \bar{e}_2, \dots, \bar{e}_n\}$ satisfying (4.1) as follows : First, by scaling e_1 , we may assume that $s_{n+1} = \pm 1$. If $s_n \neq 0$, by putting $\bar{e}_1 = e_1 \mp \frac{s_n}{n}e_2$ and $\bar{e}_{j+1} = \bar{e}_1\bar{e}_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, we have that $\langle \bar{e}_i, \bar{e}_j \rangle = 0$ for $i + j = n$. If $s_n = \dots = s_{k+1} = 0$ and $s_k \neq 0$, put $\bar{e}_1 = e_1 \mp \frac{s_k}{k}e_{m-k+2}$ and $\bar{e}_{j+1} = \bar{e}_1\bar{e}_j$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. Then $\langle \bar{e}_i, \bar{e}_j \rangle = 0$ for $i + j = k, k+1, \dots, n$.

(b) Define a derivation $B_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by $B_1(e_1) = e_1$, so that $B_1(e_j) = je_j$ for all $j = 2, 3, \dots, n$. Then $\text{tr } B_1 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and

$$\langle B_1(e_i), e_j \rangle + \langle e_i, B_1(e_j) \rangle = \begin{cases} n+1, & i+j = n+1 \\ 0, & i+j \neq n+1 \end{cases} = \frac{2}{n} \text{tr } B_1 \langle e_i, e_j \rangle.$$

So B_1 is contained in $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$, and hence $\dim \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) \geq 1$.

For any $B \in \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ and the strong adequate basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$, $B(e_k) = B(e_1^k) = ke_{k-1}B(e_1)$, ($k = 2, 3, \dots, n$) by induction. Therefore if $B(e_1) = u_1e_1 + u_2e_2 + \dots + u_ne_n$, then $B(e_k) = ke_{k-1}B(e_1) = ke_{k-1}(u_1e_1 + u_2e_2 + \dots + u_ne_n)$ for all $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ and hence $\text{tr } B = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}u_1$. Now assume that $0 \neq B \in \text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$, then the e_1 -coefficient of $B(e_1)$ must be zero so that $B(e_1)$ is contained in $\mathcal{A}^2$. In this case, there is a number k such that $\langle B(e_1), e_k \rangle \neq 0$ and $\langle B(e_1), e_{k+1} \rangle = 0$ since $\langle, \rangle$ is nondegenerate and the basis is adequate. Note that k is less than n because $B(e_1) \in \mathcal{A}^2$. Since $B(e_k) = ke_{k-1}B(e_1)$, we have

$$0 = \langle B(e_1), e_k \rangle + \langle e_1, B(e_k) \rangle = (k+1)\langle B(e_1), e_k \rangle \neq 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ and the dimension of $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ has to be 1. $\square$

The following key observation of this paper is made by Y. Choi[2].

Theorem 4.8 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform if and only if the associated polynomial F of $\mathcal{A}$ with a nondegenerate Hessian metric is the Cayley polynomial.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian filiform LSA and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be a strongly adequate basis of $\mathcal{A}$. Then from Lemma 4.7, we have a Hessian type inner product H and an infinitesimal similarity derivation B , which are given by the following matrices :

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} & & & & 1 \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ 1 & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n+1} & & & & \\ & \frac{2}{n+1} & & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \frac{n-1}{n+1} & \\ & 0 & & & \frac{n}{n+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The left multiplication λ_x of $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2 + \cdots + x_ne_n$ and $(I + \lambda_x)^{-1}$ can be written as :

$$\lambda_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & \\ x_1 & 0 & & & \\ x_2 & x_1 & 0 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_2 & x_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (I + \lambda_x)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ p_1 & 1 & & & \\ p_2 & p_1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ p_{n-1} & \cdots & p_2 & p_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $p_r = \sum_{i+j+\cdots+m=r} (-1)^d \overbrace{x_i x_j \cdots x_m}^d$ for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then from (3.8), the associated polynomial is given by

$$F(x) = x' H (I + \lambda_x)^{-1} B x = \sum_{d=2}^{n+1} (-1)^d \frac{1}{d} \sum_{i+j+\cdots+m=n+1} \overbrace{x_i x_j \cdots x_m}^d,$$

that is, F is a Cayley polynomial. Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is an n -dimensional complete abelian LSA which is not filiform, then the nilpotency class of λ_x is less than n , that is, $\lambda_x^{n-1} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. This says that the degree of the associated polynomial $F(x)$ given Theorem 3.3 is less than $n+1$. Therefore the polynomial F can not be the Cayley polynomial. $\square$

Now we can restate the conjecture of Eastwood and Ezhov in terms of LSA

Conjecture 4.9 (LSA version) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA with a non-degenerate Hessian type inner product. If the dimension of $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1, then $\mathcal{A}$ is the abelian filiform LSA.*

5 Proof of the Main Theorem

The affine $(n+1)$ -space is divided into two connected components by the hypersurface Σ given by a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Choose the one which is lying above Σ and denote it by Ω , then Σ becomes a boundary of Ω . Let $\text{Aut}(\Omega)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aff}(n+1)$ whose elements leave the domain Ω invariant.

Proposition 5.1 $\text{Aut}(\Omega) \subset \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0 = \text{Aut}(\Omega)_0$.

Proof. For any $g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0 \subset \text{Aff}(n+1)$ and $x \in \Omega$, if $gx \in \Sigma$ then put $y = gx$ and choose $g^{-1}y = z \in \Sigma$. Then we have $gx = gz$, i.e., g is not one-to-one. This contradicts that $g \in \text{Aff}(n+1)$. If $gx \in \Omega'$, the other connected component, choose a path g_t from $g_0 = e$ to $g_1 = g$ in $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$. Then $g_t x$ is a path from x to gx and we can choose t_0 such that $g_{t_0} x \in \Sigma$. This contradicts as above. Hence we have $gx \in \Omega$, i.e., $g \in \text{Aut}(\Omega)_0$.

Conversely, for any $g \in \text{Aut}(\Omega) \subset \text{Aff}(n+1)$ and $x \in \Sigma$, if $gx \in \Omega$ then put $y = gx$ and $g^{-1}y = x \in \Sigma$. This contradicts that $g \in \text{Aut}(\Omega)$. If $gx \in$

Ω' , choose a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset \Omega$ which converges to x . Then the sequence $\{g \cdot x_n\} \subset \Omega$ converges to $g \cdot x$, hence there exists x_N such that $gx_N \in \Omega'$. This is a contradiction. Hence we have $gx \in \Sigma$, i.e., $g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)$. Similarly if $g \in \text{Aut}(\Omega)_0$, then $g \in \text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ by considering a path g_t with the same argument. $\square$

The domain above the Cayley hypersurface is homogeneous, in fact, the identity component of its automorphism group acts on the domain simply transitively (cf. Lemma 4.7 (b)). In the following, we will assume that the domain above the hypersurface is also homogeneous. We call these hypersurfaces *extensible homogeneous*.

Lemma 5.2 *Let Σ be a graph of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $|\det DdF| = 1$, on which the abelian subgroup $\mathbb{A}$ acts simply transitively. Let $\mathbb{J}$ be the isotropy subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the associated complete abelian LSA with Hessian type inner product H . Then Σ is extensible homogeneous if and only if the action of $\mathbb{J}$ on $(\mathcal{A}, H)$ contains a similarity which is not an isometry.*

Proof. If the action of $\mathbb{J}$ contains only isometries, then from Proposition 3.5 any element $j \in \mathbb{J}$ is represented by $j = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, hence $j \cdot e_{n+1} = e_{n+1}$ where $e_{n+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$. This says that $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0 \cdot e_{n+1} \subset \Sigma_1 = \{(x, F(x)+1) \mid (x, F(x)) \in \Sigma\}$, which contradicts that $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0$ acts transitively on Ω .

Conversely, if the action of $\mathbb{J}$ on $(\mathcal{A}, H)$ contains a similarity which is not an isometry, then $\mathbb{J}$ contains 1-parameter subgroup such that $\mathbb{J} \cdot e_{n+1} = \{(0, \dots, 0, t) \mid t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ from Proposition 3.8. Moreover $\mathbb{A}$ acts on $\Sigma_t = \{(x, F(x) + t) \mid (x, F(x)) \in \Sigma, t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$ simply transitively by using the matrix form in Lemma 3.2. Therefore $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)_0 = \mathbb{A} \rtimes \mathbb{J}$ acts on Ω transitively. $\square$

From Lemma 5.2, a complete abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$, which is associated to the extensible homogeneous hypersurface, has a derivation B such that $B \in \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ and $B \notin \text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., $\text{tr } B \neq 0$. In this case, for a proof of the Conjecture, it is enough to show that if $\mathcal{A}$ is not filiform, $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$ is not trivial. Note that, if $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian filiform LSA, then the dimension of $\text{sDer}(\mathcal{A})$ is 1 and $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) = \{0\}$ from Lemma 4.7.

Proposition 5.3 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA with a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform if and only if $\dim \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform, then $\dim \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ from the Proposition 4.6. Now suppose that $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{span}\{x\} = \mathcal{A}^m$. Choose $e_1 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle e_1, x \rangle = 1$. Because $\mathcal{A}^2$ is perpendicular to $\mathcal{C}_1 = \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$, we have $e_1 \notin \mathcal{A}^2$. Since $\dim \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{A}^2 = \dim \mathcal{C}_1 = 1$ from Lemma 4.5, $\mathcal{A}$ can be represented as the following :

$$\mathcal{A} = V + \mathcal{A}^2,$$

where V is the 1-dimensional vector space spanned by $\{e_1\}$. Then, inductively, we have $\mathcal{A}^i = V^i + \mathcal{A}^{i+1}$ for all i , and hence

$$\mathcal{A} = V + V^2 + \cdots + V^m,$$

where $V^i = \text{span}\{e_1^i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Observe that $\{e_1, e_1^2, \dots, e_1^m\}$ is linearly independent and hence becomes a basis of $\mathcal{A}$, that is, $\mathcal{A}$ is a filiform LSA. $\square$

Lemma 5.4 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA with a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $d = \dim \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$ be greater than or equal to 2. Then the Lie algebra $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$ is nontrivial.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \text{span}\{e_{n-1}, e_n\}$ be a subspace of $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$.

(Case 1) $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Z}^\perp$. Consider a linear map $\psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, $x \mapsto (\langle x, e_{n-1} \rangle, \langle x, e_n \rangle)$. Then $\ker \psi = \mathcal{Z}^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{Z}^\perp$ has codimension 2, ψ is onto and hence there exist elements $e_1, e_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_1, e_{n-1} \rangle &= 0, & \langle e_1, e_n \rangle &= 1 \\ \langle e_2, e_{n-1} \rangle &= 1, & \langle e_2, e_n \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Denote $V = \text{span}\{e_1, e_2\}$, then $\mathcal{A} = V + \mathcal{Z}^\perp$. Note that $\mathcal{Z} \subset \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$ implies $\mathcal{Z}^\perp \supset \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})^\perp = \mathcal{A}^2$. Now define a map $B : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$B(e_1) = -e_{n-1}, \quad B(e_2) = e_n, \quad B(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp.$$

Then B is a derivation since $B(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{Z} \subset \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A})$ and $B(\mathcal{A}^2) = \{0\}$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}, e_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ where $e_3, \dots, e_{n-2} \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp$. Then the matrix form H of the Hessian type inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the derivation B are given as follows,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 0 & 1 \\ & * & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & * & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By matrix calculation, we have $B^t H + H B = 0$. So B is contained in $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$.

(Case 2) $\mathcal{Z} \not\subset \mathcal{Z}^\perp$ and $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^\perp \neq \{0\}$. By coordinate change, we may assume that $e_{n-1} \in \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^\perp$ and $\langle e_n, e_n \rangle = 1$ using $\mathcal{Z} \not\subset \mathcal{Z}^\perp$. Put $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \text{span}\{e_n\}$. Then since $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is an ideal, by the associativity of Hessian type inner product, $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{Z}_1^\perp$ becomes an ideal of $\mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}_1$ is a nondegenerate subspace, $\mathcal{A} = \bar{\mathcal{A}} \oplus \mathcal{Z}_1$. Note that $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^\perp \subset \bar{\mathcal{A}}$. Choose $e_1 \in \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $\langle e_1, e_{n-1} \rangle = 1$. In this case define a derivation B by

$$B(e_1) = -e_n, \quad B(e_n) = e_{n-1}, \quad B(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp.$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{n-2}, e_{n-1}, e_n\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ where $e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{n-2} \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp$. Then the matrix forms H of the Hessian type inner product $\langle, \rangle$ and the derivation B are given as follows

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} & & & 1 & 0 \\ & * & & 0 & 0 \\ & & * & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & * & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By matrix calculation, we have $B^t H + H B = 0$. So B is contained in $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$, that is, $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$.

(Case 3) $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathcal{Z}^\perp = \{0\}$. In this case, the restriction of the inner product on $\mathcal{Z}$ is nondegenerate. So $\mathcal{Z}^\perp$ becomes a nondegenerate ideal again by the associativity of $\langle, \rangle$. Therefore we have an algebra direct sum $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{Z}^\perp \oplus \mathcal{Z}$. Since $\mathcal{Z}$ is a trivial LSA, $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathfrak{o}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{o}(1, 1)$, which are clearly nontrivial. For any $\bar{B} \in \text{iDer } \mathcal{Z}$ the map $B : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by

$$B(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{B}(x) & x \in \mathcal{Z}, \\ 0 & x \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp \end{cases}$$

is contained in $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A})$. This says that $\text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) \neq \{0\}$. $\square$

Corollary 5.5 *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a complete abelian LSA which is associated to the nondegenerate extensible homogeneous hypersurface. Then the followings are equivalent :*

- (a) $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform.
- (b) $\dim \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$.
- (c) $\dim \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$.

Proof. Since this complete abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$ has a nondegenerate Hessian type inner product, Proposition 5.3 shows that $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform if and only if $\dim \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, and the fact that $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform implies that $\dim \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ from Lemma 4.7. Because the LSA $\mathcal{A}$ is associated to the extensible hypersurface, Lemma 5.2 says that $\dim \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) / \text{iDer}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$. Finally, Lemma 5.4 shows that if $\dim \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, then $\dim \text{Ann}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$. $\square$

Main Theorem *Let Σ be a nondegenerate hypersurface given by a function on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then Σ is a Cayley hypersurface if and only if the followings are satisfied :*

- (E1) Σ admits a transitive abelian group $\mathbb{A}$ of affine motions.

- (E2) $\text{Aut}(\Sigma)$ has a 1-dimensional isotropy group.
- (E3) Affine normals to Σ are everywhere parallel.
- (E4) The domain above the hypersurface is also homogeneous.

Proof. For an n -dimensional abelian filiform LSA $\mathcal{A}$ with Hessian type inner product $H = \langle, \rangle$ given by (4.1), let $\mathbb{A}$ be the associated abelian Lie subgroup of $\text{Aff}(n+1)$ obtained as in Theorem 2.7 (see the discussion following Remark 2.6), that is, $\text{Lie } \mathbb{A}$ is the associated Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then Theorem 4.8 shows that $\mathbb{A}$ acts on the Cayley hypersurface Σ simply transitively. The conditions, (E2) and (E4) follow from Lemma 4.7(b) and Lemma 5.2. From Theorem 2.7, the affine normals of Σ are parallel.

Conversely, the transitive abelian group $\mathbb{A}$ acts in fact simply transitively on Σ from Proposition 3.1. Since Σ is a global graph whose affine normals are parallel, $\mathbb{A}$ gives us a complete abelian LSA $\mathcal{A}$ with nondegenerate Hessian type inner product by Theorem 2.7. From (E2) with Proposition 3.8, $\dim \text{sDer}(\mathcal{A}) = 1$ and hence by Corollary 5.5, $\mathcal{A}$ is filiform. Then by Theorem 4.8, the polynomial F obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ is the Cayley polynomial, that is, Σ is a Cayley hypersurface. $\square$

It is unclear for us at this moment that (E1) and (E2) implies (E4) so that the condition (E4) can be eliminated in the Main Theorem. In this paper we consider a hypersurface given by a graph of a global function defined on *all* of $\mathbb{R}^n$. It would be very interesting to know whether only the conditions (E1), (E2) and (E3) would imply the globalness of the function. The study of these two problems will give a complete answer to the conjecture of Eastwood and Ezhov. Furthermore the classification problem of affine homogeneous hypersurfaces could be further studied through the LSA with Hessian type inner product as suggested in this paper.

References

- [1] Neda Bokan, Katsumi Nomizu and Udo Simon, *Affine hypersurfaces with parallel cubic forms*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **42** (1990), 101–108
- [2] Yuncherl Choi, *Cayley hypersurface and left symmetric algebra*, PhD thesis, Seoul National Univ., (2002)
- [3] Karel Dekimpe and Veerle Ongenae, *Filiform left-symmetric algebras*, Geom. Dedicata **74** (1999), 165–199
- [4] Franki Dillen and Luc Vrancken, *Generalized Cayley surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1481** (1990), 36–47
- [5] Franki Dillen and Luc Vrancken, *Hypersurfaces with parallel difference tensor*, Japan. J. Math. (N.S.) **24** (1998), 43–60

- [6] Franki Dillen, Luc Vrancken and Sahnur Yaprak, *Affine hypersurfaces with parallel cubic form*, Nagoya Math. J. **135** (1994), 153–164
- [7] Michael Eastwood and Vladimir Ezhov, *Cayley Hypersurfaces*, arXiv:math.DG/0001134 (2000)
- [8] William M. Goldman and Morris W. Hirsch, *Affine manifolds and orbits of algebraic groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **295** (1986) no. 1, 175–198
- [9] Hyuk Kim, *Developing maps of affinely flat Lie groups*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **34** (1997) no. 4, 373–394
- [10] Katsumi Nomizu and Ulrich Pinkall, *Cayley surfaces in affine differential geometry*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **41** (1989), 589–596
- [11] Katsumi Nomizu and Takeshi Sasaki, *A new model of unimodular-affinely homogeneous surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **73** (1991), 39–44
- [12] Katsumi Nomizu and Takeshi Sasaki, *Affine differential geometry*, Cambridge University Press (1994)
- [13] Dan Segal, *The structure of complete left-symmetric algebras*, Math. Ann. **293** (1992) no. 3, 569–578
- [14] Hirohiko Shima, *On certain locally flat homogeneous manifolds of solvable Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. **13** (1976), 213–229
- [15] Hirohiko Shima, *Homogeneous Hessian manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **30** (1976) no. 3, 91–128
- [16] Koichi Tanabe, *Affine surfaces with parallel cubic form relative to the dual connection*, Kobe J. Math. **15** (1998) no. 1, 85–89
- [17] È. B. Vinberg *The theory of homogeneous convex cones*, Trans. of the Moscow Math. Soc. **12** (1963), 340–403